

Jordanian Solutions of Simplex Equations

H. Ewen and O. Ogievetsky[†]

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Werner-Heisenberg-Institut
Föhringer Ring 6, 8000 Munich 40, West Germany

Abstract

We construct for all N a solution of the Frenkel–Moore N –simplex equation which generalizes the R –matrix for the Jordanian quantum group.

[†] On leave from P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Theoretical Department, 117924 Moscow, Leninsky prospect 53, Russia

1 Introduction

The quantum Yang–Baxter, or triangle equation can be included as a first member in a sequence of simplex equations. There are several ways of defining higher simplex equations. In this letter we investigate a version of an N -simplex equation suggested in [1]. This is an equation for an operator in the N -th power $V^{\otimes N}$ of a space V , that is, for a tensor $R_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_N}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_N}$. Denote by R_i the operator

$$(R_i)_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_{N+1}}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{N+1}} = R_{\beta_1 \dots \hat{\beta}_i \dots \beta_{N+1}}^{\alpha_1 \dots \hat{\alpha}_i \dots \alpha_{N+1}} \delta_{\beta_i}^{\alpha_i} , \quad (1)$$

where the hat over an index means that this index is omitted. The quantum N -simplex equation of [1] has the form

$$R_1 R_2 \dots R_{N+1} = R_{N+1} R_N \dots R_1 . \quad (2)$$

For $N = 2$ one recovers the standard Yang-Baxter equation.

The unit tensor satisfies (2). For R a deformation of the unit solution with parameter $\hbar$, $R = 1 + \hbar r + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2)$, the expansion of (2) gives in the second order in $\hbar$ the corresponding classical N -simplex equation

$$\sum_{i < j}^{N+1} [r_i, r_j] = 0 , \quad (3)$$

and R is called a quantization of a classical solution r .

Solutions of the quantum Yang–Baxter equation give rise to matrix quantum groups. For $\dim V = 2$ there are two types of SL quantum groups [2, 3]. One is the standard $\mathrm{SL}_q(2)$, another one is the Jordanian quantum group $\mathrm{SL}^J(2)$.

Frenkel and Moore [1] investigated the N -simplex generalization of the R -matrix giving rise to $\mathrm{SL}_q(2)$. They found that the corresponding solutions of the classical N -simplex equations exist for all N . It turned out however that the solutions do not admit a quantization for $N \geq 4$.

In this Letter we investigate an N -simplex generalization of the Jordanian R -matrix. The classical Jordanian r -matrix is a limiting case of the nondegenerate $\mathrm{SL}_q(2)$ r -matrix. The interesting property is that it can be quantized for any N , giving rise to nontrivial

solutions of the quantum N -simplex equation. The quantum Jordanian R -matrix turns out simply to be the exponent of the classical one.

2 Jordanian solution of the classical simplex equation

The Jordanian deformation of $SL(2)$ is induced by the deformation of the Borel subalgebra [4]. In the matrix form, the classical Jordanian r -matrix, $r = h \otimes e - e \otimes h$, includes only two generators h, e of $sl(2)$, $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, $e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. We will need only the algebraic properties of these matrices: $he = -eh = e$, $e^2 = 0$, $h^2 = 1$. Define operators acting in $V^{\otimes N}$:

$$\begin{aligned} h_n^N &= 1 \otimes \dots \otimes h \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \quad (h \text{ in the } n\text{-th position}) \\ e_n^N &= (-1)^n 1 \otimes \dots \otimes e \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \quad (e \text{ in the } n\text{-th position}) \\ H^N &= h \otimes h \otimes \dots \otimes h . \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

We will use these definitions for different values of N . When there is no possibility of confusion we omit the superscript N . We call an operator

$$r = H \sum_{n=1}^N e_n \tag{5}$$

a Jordanian classical r -matrix.

Inserting the identity in the i -th place turns an operator e_n^N into e_n^{N+1} or $(-e_{n+1}^{N+1})$ depending on whether $n < i$ or $n \geq i$. We have

$$r_i = h_i^{N+1} H^{N+1} \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} \sigma_{in} e_n^{N+1} , \tag{6}$$

where $\sigma_{ij} = -1, 0, +1$ for $i < j, i = j, i > j$ respectively.

The r -matrix (5) satisfies the classical N -simplex equation:

$$\sum_{i < j}^{N+1} [r_i, r_j] = - \sum_{i < j}^{N+1} \sum_{m, n}^{N+1} \sigma_{in} \sigma_{jm} [h_i e_n, h_j e_m] = - \sum_{i \neq j}^{N+1} \sum_n^{N+1} 2 \sigma_{in} h_j e_i e_n = 0 . \tag{7}$$

3 Quantization

For quantum groups, the explicit formulas for deformation of Lie bialgebras [5] to the third order in $\hbar$ implies that $R = e^{\hbar r} = 1 + \hbar r + \frac{1}{2}\hbar^2 r^2 + \dots$ satisfies the quantum Yang-Baxter equation up to order $\hbar^3$. A direct calculation shows that this holds for simplex equations as well: if r satisfies the classical simplex equation (3), then $R = e^{\hbar r}$ satisfies the quantum simplex equation (2) up to order $\hbar^3$.

We are going to prove that for the Jordanian solution the exponent of the classical r solves the quantum Yang-Baxter equation to all orders in $\hbar$.

The elements He_n commute. Since they are nilpotent, the R -matrix is actually a polynomial in $\hbar$,

$$R = e^{\hbar r} = e^{\hbar H \sum_{n=1}^N e_n} = \prod_{n=1}^N e^{\hbar H e_n} = \prod_{n=1}^N (1 + \hbar H e_n) . \quad (8)$$

Put

$$E_n = \hbar H e_n . \quad (9)$$

The elements E_n, h_n satisfy the same algebra as e_n, h_n : $h_n E_n = -E_n h_n = E_n$; $h_n E_m = E_m h_n$, $n \neq m$; $E_n E_m = E_m E_n$, $n \neq m$; $E_n^2 = 0$.

In this notation,

$$R_i = e^{\hbar r_i} = \prod_{n=1}^{N+1} (1 + h_i^{N+1} \sigma_{in} E_n^{N+1}) . \quad (10)$$

We first prove a preparatory Lemma.

Lemma. The operator $\prod_{n=1}^{N+1} (1 + E_n)$ commutes with $R_1 \dots R_{N+1}$,

$$\left[\prod_{n=1}^{N+1} (1 + E_n) , R_1 R_2 \dots R_{N+1} \right] = 0 . \quad (11)$$

Proof. Denote the result of moving E_k through $R_1 \dots R_{N+1}$ by C_k ,

$$E_k R_1 \dots R_{N+1} = R_1 \dots R_{N+1} C_k . \quad (12)$$

We will derive a recursion formula for C_k and prove that $\prod_k (1 + C_k) = \prod_k (1 + E_k)$.

We have

$$E_i(1 + h_i \sigma_{in} E_n) = (1 + h_i \sigma_{in} E_n) E_i(1 - 2\sigma_{in} E_n) . \quad (13)$$

The element $1 - 2\sigma_{in} E_n$ commutes with each factor in R_i , therefore

$$E_i R_i = R_i E_i \prod_n (1 - 2\sigma_{in} E_n) . \quad (14)$$

For $i \neq j$ we have

$$E_i R_j = R_j E_i . \quad (15)$$

Moving E_i through $R_1 \dots R_{N+1}$ and using (14), (15), we obtain immediately a recursion relation

$$C_k = E_k \prod_{i < k} (1 - 2E_i) \prod_{j > k} (1 + 2C_j) . \quad (16)$$

Since C_k is proportional to E_k , we have $C_k^2 = 0$, and $(1 + 2C_j)^{-1} = 1 - 2C_j$. Multiplying (16) by $\prod_{j \leq k} (1 + 2C_j)$, we find

$$C_k \prod_{j < k} (1 + 2C_j) = E_k \prod_{i < k} (1 - 2E_i) D , \quad (17)$$

where $D = \prod_{j=1}^{N+1} (1 + 2C_j)$. Summing over k , and using easily checked identities

$$2 \sum_k C_k \prod_{j < k} (1 + 2C_j) = \prod_k (1 + 2C_k) - 1 , \quad 2 \sum_k E_k \prod_{j < k} (1 - 2E_j) = 1 - \prod_k (1 - 2E_k) , \quad (18)$$

we obtain $D^{-1} = \prod (1 - 2E_j)$, or, taking inverses, $D = \prod (1 + 2E_j)$. For nilpotent ϵ the expansion $(1 + \epsilon)^{1/2} = 1 + 1/2\epsilon - 1/8\epsilon^2 + \dots$ terminates. Using this expression for the square root of both sides of $D = \prod (1 + 2E_j)$, we find

$$\prod_k (1 + C_k) = \prod_k (1 + E_k) . \quad (19)$$

The proof is finished.

Theorem. The R -matrix (8) solves the quantum N -simplex equation (2).

Proof. Using $H(1 + \hbar H e_n) = (1 - \hbar H e_n)H$ and $H^2 = 1$ we find $R^{-1} = HRH$ for the R -matrix (8). Therefore we can rewrite the quantum N -simplex equation in the form

$$(HR_1 R_2 \dots R_{N+1})^2 = 1 . \quad (20)$$

Decomposing H into the product of h_i , $H = \prod_{i=1}^{N+1} h_i$ and moving every h_i to the corresponding R_i , we rewrite the simplex equation once more:

$$(X_1^{N+1} X_2^{N+1} \dots X_{N+1}^{N+1})^2 = 1, \quad (21)$$

where

$$X_i^{N+1} = h_i \prod_{n \neq i}^{N+1} (1 + h_n E_n) \quad (22)$$

We will prove (21) by induction in N . For $N = 1$ the equation is easily verified. Now we expand $X_i^{N+1} = (X_i^N \otimes 1)(1 + h_i E_{N+1})$, $i < N + 1$. We will write X_i^N instead of $X_i^N \otimes 1$. Then

$$(X_1^{N+1} X_2^{N+1} \dots X_{N+1}^{N+1})^2 = (X_1^N (1 + h_1 E_{N+1}) \dots X_N^N (1 + h_N E_{N+1}) h_{N+1} \prod_{n=1}^N (1 + h_{N+1} E_n))^2, \quad (23)$$

which after moving h_{N+1} to the right and using $h_{N+1}^2 = 1$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & X_1^N (1 + h_1 E_{N+1}) \dots X_N^N (1 + h_N E_{N+1}) \prod_{n=1}^N (1 + h_{N+1} E_n) \\ & \times X_1^N (1 - h_1 E_{N+1}) \dots X_N^N (1 - h_N E_{N+1}) \prod_{m=1}^N (1 + h_{N+1} E_m). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$X_i^N (1 + h_j E_{N+1}) = (1 - 2h_i E_j E_{N+1}) (1 + h_j E_{N+1}) X_i^N.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & X_1^N (1 + h_1 E_{N+1}) \dots X_N^N (1 + h_N E_{N+1}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^N (1 + h_i E_{N+1}) \prod_{i_1 < i_2}^N (1 - 2h_{i_1} E_{i_2} E_{N+1}) \prod_{i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^N (1 + 4h_{i_1} E_{i_2} E_{i_3} E_{N+1}) \dots X_1^N \dots X_N^N \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^N [1 + h_k \prod_{i > k}^N (1 - 2E_i) E_{N+1}] X_1^N \dots X_N^N. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} & X_1^N (1 - h_1 E_{N+1}) \dots X_N^N (1 - h_N E_{N+1}) \\ &= X_1^N \dots X_N^N \prod_{k=1}^N [1 - h_k \prod_{i < k}^N (1 + 2E_i) E_{N+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(X_1^{N+1} \dots X_{N+1}^{N+1})^2 &= \prod_{k=1}^N [1 + h_k \prod_{i>k}^N (1 - 2E_i) E_{N+1}] X_1^N \dots X_N^N \prod_{n=1}^N (1 + E_n h_{N+1}) \\
&\times X_1^N \dots X_N^N \prod_{l=1}^N [1 - h_l \prod_{j<l}^N (1 + 2E_j) E_{N+1}] \prod_{m=1}^N (1 + E_m h_{N+1}). \quad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

By the Lemma, $R_1 \dots R_{N+1}$ commutes with $\prod(1 + E_n)$, and therefore with $(\prod(1 + E_n))^{-1} = \prod(1 - E_n)$ as well. For the operators X^{N+1} this amounts to

$$\prod_{n=1}^{N+1} (1 \pm E_n) X_1^{N+1} \dots X_{N+1}^{N+1} = X_1^{N+1} \dots X_{N+1}^{N+1} \prod_{m=1}^{N+1} (1 \mp E_m). \quad (25)$$

Since the operators X_i^N do not touch the $(N+1)$ -th space, and h_{N+1} takes values ± 1 on the $(N+1)$ -th space, we can rewrite (25) for N in the form

$$\prod_{n=1}^N (1 + E_n h_{N+1}) X_1^N \dots X_N^N = X_1^N \dots X_N^N \prod_{m=1}^N (1 - E_m h_{N+1}). \quad (26)$$

Using (26) and the induction assumption for $N-1$ we find that the right hand side of (24) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{k=1}^N [1 + h_k \prod_{i>k}^N (1 - 2E_i) E_{N+1}] \prod_{n=1}^N (1 - E_n h_{N+1}) \\
&\times \prod_{l=1}^N [1 - h_l \prod_{j<l}^N (1 + 2E_j) E_{N+1}] \prod_{m=1}^N (1 + E_m h_{N+1}). \quad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{n=1}^N (1 - E_n h_{N+1}) \prod_{l=1}^N [1 - h_l \prod_{j<l}^N (1 + 2E_j) E_{N+1}] \prod_{m=1}^N (1 + E_m h_{N+1}) \\
&= \prod_{n=1}^N (1 - E_n h_{N+1}) [1 - \sum_{l=1}^N h_l \prod_{j<l}^N (1 + 2E_j) E_{N+1}] \prod_{m=1}^N (1 + E_m h_{N+1}) \\
&= 1 - \prod_{n=1}^N (1 - E_n) (\sum_{l=1}^N h_l \prod_{j<l}^N (1 + 2E_j) E_{N+1}) \prod_{m=1}^N (1 - E_m) \\
&= 1 - \sum_{l=1}^N h_l \prod_{j \leq l}^N (1 + 2E_j) \prod_{n=1}^N (1 - E_n) E_{N+1} \prod_{m=1}^N (1 - E_m) \\
&= 1 - \sum_{l=1}^N h_l \prod_{j>l}^N (1 - 2E_j) E_{N+1} \\
&= \prod_{l=1}^N [1 - h_l \prod_{j>l}^N (1 - 2E_j) E_{N+1}]. \quad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

In the second equality we used $h_{N+1}E_{N+1} = -E_{N+1}h_{N+1} = E_{N+1}$. The element h_l does not commute with E_l , which changes the summation over $j < l$ to $j \leq l$ in the third equality.

Therefore, expression (27) equals 1, which finishes the proof of the Theorem.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to V.Jain, W.Schmidke, M.Schlieker and J. Wess for various discussions.

References

- [1] I. Frenkel, G. Moore, Simplex Equations and Their Solutions, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **138** (1991) 259.
- [2] E.E. Demidov, Yu.I. Manin, E.E. Mukhin, D.V. Zhdanovich, Non-Standard Quantum Deformations of $GL(n)$ and Constant Solutions of the Yang-Baxter Equation, Preprint RIMS-701 (1990).
- [3] H. Ewen, O. Ogievetsky, J. Wess, Quantum Matrices in Two Dimensions, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **22** (1991) 297.
- [4] O. Ogievetsky, Self-Dual Hopf Algebra with Two Generators, preprint MPI-Ph/92-98.
- [5] V. Drinfeld, On Some Unsolved Problems in Quantum Group Theory, preprint Kharkov, 1991.